

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,191 03/31/2004		04	Amit Bagga	633-024US	7508
47912 Avava	7590 03/18/2010			EXAMINER	
DEMONT & BREYER, LLC 100 COMMONS WAY, STE 250 HOLMDEL, NJ 07733				GYORFI, THOMAS A	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2435	
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				03/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@dblaw.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/815,191 BAGGA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Thomas Gvorfi 2435 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 December 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTC/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/815,191 Page 2

Art Unit: 2435

DETAILED ACTION

 Claims 1-17 and 19-27 remain for examination. The amendment filed 12/2/09 amended claims 1, 21, and 27.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1-16 and 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "P-Synch Installation and Configuration Guide" (hereinafter, "P-Synch") in view of Wong (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2005/0102534) in view of Eitel (U.S. Patent 7,043,521).

Regarding claims 1, 21, and 27:

P-Synch discloses a method, apparatus, and article of manufacture for evaluating a password proposed by a user, comprising: receiving a proposed password from a user (page 4, "3. Users select a new password..."); and rejecting the proposed password based on a rule for the selection of passwords (page 4, "4. P-Synch checks the new password..."; cf. pages 124-126 for sample rules).

Application/Control Number: 10/815,191

Art Unit: 2435

P-Synch does not explicitly disclose performing an Internet search using a query containing one or more keywords derived from said proposed password, and rejecting the password based on the results returned by said search engine. However, it is observed that P-synch, while already possessing a defined set of rules to measure a proposed password's strength, can nevertheless be extended by allowing an admin to add new rules via a plug-in (page 127, section 10.19.1 "Adding new rules with a plug-in program"). In that yein, Wong discloses a related security auditing tool including interalia functionality to test passwords according to various security criteria, said functionality in turn including inter alia querying one or more Internet search engines to determine if a password can be correlated to a user according to any number of criteria (paragraphs 0108-0110 and 0127). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to develop a plug-in for P-Synch that implements the above functionality disclosed by Wong's automated password cracker to determine if a proposed new password can be correlated to a user, as the technique is clearly within the capabilities of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Although Wong discloses wherein his search-engine-employing password searcher may be recursively iterated to continue churning up multiple hits that could inadvertently reveal a user's password (paragraph 0110), it is unclear if this step is taken only when the previous queries failed to find the password or whether the system is trying to confirm that it has found one's password by finding multiple pages containing it. Nevertheless, Eitel discloses a related technique to be employed during a search for arbitrary information on the Internet wherein the search will fail if, for example, the

search comprised too few hits to satisfy a pre-established threshold (col. 6, line 46 – col. 7, line 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to set a minimum threshold for search hits for determining if the Wong plug-in has found one's password, as the technique is clearly within the capabilities of one of ordinary skill in the art, and one would have had a good reason to pursue the known options within one's grasp. If setting a minimum threshold for search hits would lead to anticipated success, it would

be the product not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense.

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 22:

P-Synch further discloses wherein said one or more predefined correlation rules evaluate whether that said proposed password can be [qualitatively: the password is the username; quantitatively: the password is similar to the username] correlated with said user (page 126, as indicated).

Regarding claims 4, 6, 23, and 24:

P-Synch in view of Wong further discloses wherein said proposed password is comprised of a proposed answer and a proposed hint (P-Synch: the user Q&A profiles on pages 83 and 199-200), and wherein the proposed answer can be correlated with/obtained from the proposed hint in a particular relation (Wong: pars. 0108-0110).

Regarding claim 5:

P-Synch further discloses wherein said particular relation is selected from the group consisting essentially of self, family member, co-author, teammate, colleague, neighbor, community member, or household member (pages 83, 199, & 200).

Regarding claims 7 and 25:

P-Synch further discloses wherein said proposed password is an identifying number (e.g. PIN number, e.g. page 6, "2.2.2 Authentication Systems").

Regarding claims 8 and 26:

P-Synch in view of Wong further discloses wherein the rule evaluates whether the identifying number identifies a person in a particular relationship to the user (P-Synch: "Family member phone number that is not your own", pages 83 and 200; Wong: paragraph 0109).

Regarding claim 9:

P-Synch further discloses wherein said one or more pre-defined correlation rules evaluate whether said identifying number is a top N most commonly used identifying number (in the embodiment where the password is a PIN, the password history rules on pages 126 and 127).

Application/Control Number: 10/815,191

Art Unit: 2435

Regarding claim 10:

P-Synch in view of Wong further discloses wherein the rule evaluates whether the identifying number identifies a top N commercial entity (P-Synch: "radio station dial number" at pages 83 and 200; Wong: paragraph 0109).

Regarding claim 11:

P-Synch in view of Wong further discloses wherein the rule evaluates whether the identifying number identifies the user (P-Synch: "Your SSN", Ibid; Wong: Ibid).

Regarding claims 12-14:

P-Synch further discloses wherein said identifying number is a portion of a telephone number, address, or social security number (pages 83 and 200).

Regarding claim 15:

P-Synch further discloses wherein said proposed password is a word (page 125, the dictionary rules).

Regarding claim 16:

P-Synch further discloses wherein said one or more predefined correlation rules evaluate whether a correlation between said word and said user exceeds a predefined threshold (e.g. the last two rules on page 125).

Regarding claim 19:

P-Synch further discloses wherein said step of ensuring a correlation further comprises the step of performing a local proximity evaluation (e.g. the last two rules on page 125, and the variants of the username on page 126).

Regarding claim 20:

P-Synch further discloses wherein said step of ensuring a correlation further comprises the step of performing a number classification (the digits rules: page 125).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over P-Synch
in view of Wong in view of Eitel as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of
"About Metacrawler" (hereinafter, "Metacrawler").

Regarding claim 17:

Although Wong suggests searching a plurallity of search engines (paragraph 0108), the references do not explicitly disclose using a meta-search engine. However, Metacrawler discloses a single meta-search engine capable of searching a plurality of search engines (Metacrawler, entire article, but particularly the first paragraph). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute Metacrawler for the generic search engine(s) employed by the Wong invention/plug-in, as doing so would lead to better results obtained significantly faster than by searching each engine separately (Metacrawler, "Better Search, Faster Results").

Application/Control Number: 10/815,191 Page 8

Art Unit: 2435

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- U.S. Patent 7,062,655 to Nelson et al.
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0107406 to Fallman
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0078603 to Ogura et al.
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0044657 to Lee
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0088554 to Ryan et al.
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0055919 to Mikheev
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Gyorfi whose telephone number is (571)272-3849. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TAG 3/9/10 /Kimyen Vu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435